# Exhibit A

5-13-20

Exhibitor Partie 2 of 20

11:55

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

**SUM-100** 

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

BLOCKFI LENDING LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, SCRATCH SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, and Does 1-100

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

George J. Gerro, an individual

NOTICE! You have been seed. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this cummons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right away. If you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney referral service. If you cannot afford an attomey, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association, NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Ticne 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entreque una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada tolofónica no lo protogon. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar on formato logal correcto si desea que precesen su case en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puedo encentrar estos formularios de la certo y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Certes de Californio (www.sucerto.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quodo más corca. Si no puedo pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corto lo podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abegado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abegado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puode pagar a un abogado, os posiblo quo cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services. (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) e peniéndese en centacte con la corte e el cologio do abogados localos. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiono dorocho a roclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sebre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concosión do arbitrajo en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Burbank Courthouse

300 East Olive Ave. Dept. A

Burbank, CA 91502

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

20BBCV00308

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, le dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

John M. Gerro, Law Offices of Gerro & Gerro, 530 S. Glenoaks Blvd. Suite 200, Burbank, CA 91502, telephone: (818) 840-0000

DATE: (Fecha)

MAY 13, 2020

Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk of Court Clerk, by

M. Scott

, Deputy (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010).)

	NO.	TICE	TO	THE	PERSON	SERVED:	You	are	serve
--	-----	------	----	-----	--------	---------	-----	-----	-------

as an individual defendant.

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

on behalf of (specify):

Blockfi Lending LLC

(Secretario)

CCP 416.10 (corporation)

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date)

Page 1 of 1

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California

SUMMONS

For your protection and privacy, please press the Clear This Form button after you have printed the form.

Print this form

Save this form

Clear this form

## THE PARTIES

## Defendant: Blockfi Lending LLC

- 1. Defendant, BLOCKFI LENDING LLC ("Blockfi") is a limited liability company formed under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 150 Broadway, 19th Floor, New York, NY, 10038. The California Secretary of State granted permission for Defendant to conduct business as a foreign entity within the State of California, as entity number 201803110036. Since August 16, 2018, Defendant has been licensed by the California Department of Business Oversight, license number 60DBO-81955. On information and belief, Blockfi holds no other licenses from the State of California, or its political subdivisions thereof.
- 2. Blockfi's well-defined business consists of lending money for interest. Blockfi routinely receives personal property to secure repayment of its loans. Blockfi, as Pledgee, takes possession of personal property from borrowers, or Pledgors. Pledged property consists primarily of "crypto-currencies" (also known as "digital currencies," or "virtual currencies.").
- 3. "Crypto-currencies" are personal property, which may be possessed, transferred, and controlled by anyone who possesses the signatory "private keys." According to Blockfi's website, "By using your crypto[-currency] as collateral, you can unlock up to 50% of the value of your assets in USD [United States Dollars]." (Blockfi.com, accessed on May 13, 2020). For example, for every \$1 that Blockfi lends, Blockfi takes possession of \$2 worth of pledged property.
- 4. Blockfi also lends crypto-currencies to institutional investors, who pledge crypto-currencies which are dollar-denominated (known as "stable coins") as collateral. Blockfi accepts possession of the pledgors' crypto-currencies for the entire duration of Blockfi's loan.
- 5. From origination, before any defaults, Blockfi takes possession of the pledged property. Blockfi commingles pledged property, and loans out the pledged property. If the pledged property earns interest, Blockfi retains all interest earned from pledged property. Blockfi does not apply proceeds from pledged property to loan balances. Blockfi does not hold the proceeds as additional security. Blockfi does not account for profits from pledged property.

4

8

10 11

12

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

- 6. Since Blockfi lends out pledged property, Blockfi uses over-collateralized loans to increase its outstanding loan balances. Every loan increases Blockfi's potential leverage.
- 7. If exchange prices of pledged property temporarily decline, then Blockfi may sell pledged property. If exchange prices decline fast, then Blockfi forecloses fast.
- 8. This lending operation constitutes a well-established aspect of Blockfi's business. On information and belief, Blockfi does not obtain Pawnbroker licenses as required by statute. (CAL. FIN. CODE § 21000, et seq.).

## Defendant: Scratch Services, LLC

- 9. Defendant, SCRATCH SERVICES, LLC ("Scratch"), is formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 375 Alabama St., Unit 360, San Francisco, California 94110. Scratch provides payment processing services, enabling borrowers to pay lenders.
- 10. Scratch will accept loan payments through ACH (Automated Clearing House) transfers. Borrowers pay principal and interest to Scratch, directly. Scratch provides loan services for many different types of loans. Blockfi utilizes Scratch as a loan servicer for its loans, and directs borrowers to use the Scratch website's payment portal.

## Plaintiff: George J. Gerro

11. Plaintiff, GEORGE J. GERRO ("Gerro") works and lives in the City of Burbank, in the County of Los Angeles, and State of California. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was accepting written contracts, transferring pledged property, receiving loan funds, making loan payments, communicating, and suffering injuries from his domicile. Gerro borrows and invests for personal purposes.

### Defendants: Does 1-100

12. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of defendants sued in this Complaint as Doe 1 through Doe 100, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by fictitious names under Section 474 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of Doe 1 through Doe 100, inclusive, when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that

Case	22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 6 of 20					
1	each of the defendants named herein as Doe 1 through Doe 100, inclusive, is responsible in					
2	some manner for the occurrence, injury, and other damages alleged in this Complaint.					
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
4	13. Jurisdiction is proper in the Los Angeles Superior Court because it has general					
5	subject matter jurisdiction and no statutory exceptions to jurisdiction exist.					
6	14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants since they have consented					
7	to jurisdiction in the State of California, solicited business from consumers known to reside in					
8	California, purposefully availed themselves of California Law, entered into contracts accepted					
9	in California, and have minimum contacts with the State of California. (See CAL. CIV. PROC.					
10	CODE § 410.10).					
11	15. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE § 395(a) because					
12	the contracts at issue, even if void, were accepted by Plaintiff's "last act" in Los Angeles					
13	County, and Plaintiff's obligation was performed in Los Angeles County. (3 Witkin, Cal. Proc.					
14	5th Actions § 835 (2020) (Cal. Civ. Proc. § 395(a) encompasses actions for "restitution after					
15	rescission of a contract"). Plaintiff resides in the County of Los Angeles, and Plaintiff has					
16	chosen the Los Angeles Superior Court as the presumptively proper venue.					
17						
18	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS					
19						
20	16. On September 6, 2019, Plaintiff and Blockfi's entered into a loan agreement					
21	("First Pawn") whereby Blockfi lent Plaintiff money, and Plaintiff pledged bitcoin to Blockfi.					
22	17. The three Blockfi's electronic Agreements at issue contain similar provisions:					
23	(A) Principal due in one (1) year.					
24	(B) Interest-only monthly payments					
25	(C) Interest rates from 9.75% to 11.25%.					
26						
27	(D) No prepayment penalties or lock-in provisions.					
28	(E) Borrower pledges property worth 200% of the loan principal amount.					

Case	22-19361-M	IBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 7 of 20		
1		(F) Delaware choice of law and permissive forum selection clauses.		
2		(G) Security interest in the pledged property.		
3		(H) Margin calls if the pledged property declines in value.		
4	(I) Liquidations of pledged property if prices decline further.			
5		(J) Blockfi may re-pledge (or "rehypothecate") the pledged property.		
6		(K) Pre-signed by Blockfi's representative, and "Docusigned" by the pledgor.		
7	18.	On September 24, 2019, Blockfi originated another loan to Plaintiff ("Second		
8 9	Pawn") on su	abstantially similar terms as the first. Plaintiff pledged bitcoin to Blockfi, and		
10	commenced p	paying interest at a rate of 11.25%.		
11	19.	On October 10, 2019, Plaintiff pledged additional collateral for the First Pawn.		
12	20.	On February 12, 2020, Blockfi refinanced both loans, and consolidated them into		
13	a third loan to	o Plaintiff ("Third Pawn"). Plaintiff's pledged property from the First and Second		
14	Pawns consti	tuted the pledged property for the Third Pawn.		
15 16	21.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff made timely interest payments, in full, on all loans		
17	to Scratch. S	cratch administered all payments for the First, Second, and Third Pawns.		
18	22.	On information and belief, Defendants utilized Plaintiff's pledged property to		
19	earn a profit,	including but not limited to, by lending out Plaintiff's property for monetary or		
20	non-monetary	y proceeds.		
21	23.	Plaintiff never consented to Defendant's lending out of his pledged property.		
22	24.	Defendants never accounted to Plaintiff for the proceeds.		
23	25.	Defendants never held the proceeds as additional security on behalf of Plaintiff.		
25	26.	Defendants never applied the proceeds to Plaintiff's loan balance.		
26	27.	Defendants never remitted monetary proceeds to Plaintiff.		
27	28.	On March 12, 2020, the Bitcoin price declined significantly for a short period of		
28	time. Blockf	i claimed that they sold Plaintiff's collateral in three (3) separate sales.		

30.

29.

37.

is extinguished.

Defendants did not accelerate the Third Pawn's loan principal in good faith.

Defendants conducted such sales irregularly, arbitrarily, and unreasonably.

- 3
- 31. Defendants never obtained Plaintiff's consent to sell Plaintiff's assets.
- 4
- 32. Defendant's notice was unreasonable.
- 5
- 33. Blockfi provided Plaintiff with inaccurate, misleading, and untimely information.

6

34. On March 17, 2020, Plaintiff directed Blockfi to pay off the remaining loan

8

balance, which was a small fraction of the original loan balance.

9

35. On March 19, 2020, Defendants emailed Plaintiff a written offer for Plaintiff to

10

re-purchase the bitcoin which was pledged for the Third Pawn.

11 12 36. On March 24, 2020, Plaintiff informed Defendants that he was interested in

13

accepting Defendant's offer, and was seeking financing in order to perform.

14

bitcoin, and specifying the formula to calculate the proportion of the purchase price to be paid in

On March 24, 2020, Defendant emailed Plaintiff, renewing the offer to sell

15 16

interest in the bitcoin, to remain in Defendant's possession as collateral until the security interest

cash, with the remainder of the purchase price to be seller-financed, secured by a security

17 18

19

38. On April 27, 2020, Plaintiff unequivocally accepted Defendant's offer in a

20 21 writing, via email, and tendered the cash portion of the purchase price.

22

39. After Defendants attempted to renegotiate the contract price, Defendants repudiated their performance under the contract. Defendant offered conflicting, undisclosed,

subjective reasons for breaching the contract, none of which are legally justified.

property on the terms offered by Defendants.

23 24

> 40. Plaintiff demanded that Defendant perform in accordance with the terms of the

25 26

contract.

41. Defendants failed to perform, and Plaintiff was unable to replace the pledged

27 28

# (Against All Defendants)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

2

# BILL QUIA TIMET [CAL. CIV. § 3412]

4

5

42. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 41 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.

67

43. Blockfi is "engaged in the business of receiving goods... in pledge as security for a loan" on a routine basis with borrowers in the State of California.

8

9

44. Blockfi knew or should have known about its violations of California's Pawnbroker Laws, licensing and regulatory regime. (CAL. FIN. CODE § 21000, et seq.).

10

45. Unlicensed pawning, conducted on a regular basis, is unlawful and punishable as a misdemeanor. (CAL. FIN. CODE § 21300.1).

12

11

46. As an unlicensed pawnbroker, the First, Second, and Third Pawn agreements are void as against public policy. (*Levison v. Boas* (1907) 150 Cal. 185).

13 14

47. Plaintiff has a reasonable apprehension that Delaware choice-of-law and forum selection clauses in the First, Second, and Third Pawn agreements may still appear to be contained in an enforceable written instrument.

16

17

15

48. Defendants may attempt to be enforce the Delaware clause, causing serious damage to Plaintiff, California Consumers, and California Public Policy.

18 19

49. Plaintiff requests that the First, Second, and Third Pawn electronic agreements, being void or voidable, are ordered to be delivered up or canceled.

2021

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

22

(Against All Defendants)

23

DETERMINATION OF ADVERSE CLAIMS [CAL. CIV. PROC. § 1050]

24

50. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 42 through 49 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.

2526

51. Although Plaintiff transferred possession of the pledged property to Blockfi, Plaintiff retained title to the pledged property. (CAL. FIN. CODE § 21002).

27

28

in Paragraphs 57 through 61 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.

Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained

27

28

62.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 63. To the extent that Blockfi had a security interest, if any, Blockfi was governed by California's Uniform Commercial Code Secured Transactions. (CAL. COM. CODE § 9101, et seq.).
- 64. Pursuant to CAL. COM. CODE § 9602(1), CAL. COM. CODE § 9207(b)(4)(c) is unwaivable.
- 65. CAL. COM. CODE § 9207(b)(4) provides that Blockfi cannot use consumer goods in any manner except to preserve the collateral or its value, or pursuant to court order.
  - 66. Blockfi used Plaintiffs collateral in a manner that is disallowed.
- 67. Plaintiff's collateral constituted consumer goods within the meaning of California Law.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)

CAL. COM. CODE § 9207(c)

- 68. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 62 through 67 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.
- 69. To the extent that Blockfi had a security interest, if any, Blockfi was governed by California's Uniform Commercial Code Secured Transactions. (CAL. COM. CODE § 9101, et seq.).
- 70. CAL. COM. CODE § 9207(c) provides that proceeds from Plaintiff's pledged property must be either: held as additional security in Plaintiff's favor, if non-monetary proceeds; and applied towards Plaintiffs loan balance, or remitted to Plaintiff, if non-monetary.
- 71. Blockfi failed to hold any non-monetary proceeds as additional collateral in favor of Plaintiff.
- 72. Blockfi failed to reduce the loan principal, or remit to Plaintiff, any monetary proceeds from Plaintiff's collateral.
- 73. Plaintiff is entitled to a proportional share of monetary and non-monetary proceeds derived by Blockfi in general, in proportion to the total amount of pledged property held by Blockfi, to the extent that the proceeds cannot be traced to Plaintiff's collateral.

1	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
2		(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)			
3		CAL. COM. CODE § 9620(g)			
4	85. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained				
5	in Paragraphs	78 through 84 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.			
6	86.	Pursuant to CAL. COM. CODE § 9602(10), CAL. COM. CODE § 9620(g) is			
7	unwaivable.				
8	87.	Defendant accepted Plaintiff's collateral in a consumer good transaction.			
9	88.	Defendant accepted Plaintiff's collateral in three separate partial satisfactions.			
10		NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
11		(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)			
12	CAL. COM. CODE § 1309				
13	89. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained				
14	in Paragraphs 85 through 88 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.				
15	90. Provisions within the First, Second, and Third Pawn were "words of similar				
16	import" to an insecurity clause as contemplated by CAL. COM. CODE § 1309.				
17	91.	Defendants did not exercise the acceleration clauses in good faith, since they			
18	believed that	the price fluctuation was temporary and did not reflect the fair value of bitcoin.			
19	92.	Defendants exercised their rights, if any, in violation of the limitations that they			
20	exercise all o	f their rights in good faith.			
21		TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
22	(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)				
23	CONVERSION				
24	93.	Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained			
25	in Paragraphs	89 through 92 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.			
26	94.	Plaintiff owned and had fee title to the pledged property.			
27					
28					

Case	22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 14 of 20					
1	95. Defendants interfered with Plaintiff's ownership by conveying the pledged					
2	property to a third party, in violation of statutory, common law, and contractual protections of					
3	Plaintiff's property.					
4	96. Defendant's interference was knowing and intentional, since they intended to					
5	disown Plaintiff from his own property, by conveyance to a third party.					
6	97. Plaintiff demanded return of the property upon restoration of benefits to					
7	Defendants.					
8	98. Defendant's interference caused Plaintiff injury, damage, loss and harm.					
9	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION					
10	(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)					
11	TRESPASS TO CHATTELS					
12	99. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained					
13	in Paragraphs 93 through 98 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.					
14	100. Plaintiff owned and had fee title to the pledged property.					
15	101. Defendants interfered with Plaintiff's ownership by intermeddling with his					
16	property, right to redemption, and Plaintiff has been deprived of the market price appreciation					
1.7_	since the time of the intermeddling.					
18	102. Defendant acted while knowing of Plaintiff's statutory right of redemption.					
19	103. Defendant has prevented Plaintiff from access to his property in defiance of his					
20	four (4) month right of redemption.					
21	104. Defendant's intermeddling caused Plaintiff injury, damage, loss and harm.					
22	TWELVTH CAUSE OF ACTION					
23	(Against Blockfi Lending LLC)					
24	QUANTUM VALEBANT					
25	105. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained					
26	in Paragraphs 99 through 104 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.					
27	106. Defendant continues to hold Plaintiff's property under color of a void contract.					
28						
	12					
	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT					

Case	22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 15 of 20				
1	107. Plaintiff has suffered a loss, and Defendant has suffered a benefit, to the extent of				
2	Plaintiff's property's market value as of trial.				
3	108. Plaintiff acted pursuant to an explicit request by Defendant to send the pledged				
4	property to a source which they control.				
5	109. Defendants further benefitted from the interest on Plaintiff's assets, which				
6	accrued upon the assets, resulting in net profits to Defendants.				
7	110. Plaintiff and Defendant acted pursuant to an implicit understanding, in the				
8	absence of a contract, that the property would be restored to Plaintiff eventually.				
9	THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
10	(Against Scratch Services LLC)				
11	COMMON COUNT - MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED				
12	111. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained				
13	in Paragraphs 105 through 110 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.				
14	112. Plaintiff sent interest payments to Scratch Services LLC, pursuant to a void				
15	contract.				
16	113. All payments should be restored to Plaintiff, because they were sent to Defendant				
17	for Plaintiff's benefit.				
18	114. Since Defendant has not returned Plaintiff's money, Defendant owes Plaintiff the				
19	amount of all payments made on account of a void loan.				
20	FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
21	(Against All Defendants)				
22	BREACH OF CONTRACT				
23	115. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and all of the allegations contained				
24	in Paragraphs 111 through 114 hereof, as if fully set forth herein.				
25	116. Subsequent to the unlawful sales of Plaintiff's property, Defendant offered to sell				
26	Plaintiff's property back to Plaintiff, to "reverse" the transactions.				
27	117. Plaintiff's electronic acceptance created a legally binding contract. (CAL. COM.				
28	CODE § 2204).				
1					

Case	22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 16 of 20
1	118. Plaintiff tendered performance and satisfied all conditions precedent to
2	Defendant's performance.
3	119. Plaintiff justifiably relied upon Defendant's offer, thereby obtaining financing to
4	complete the deal proposed by Defendant.
5	120. Plaintiff was excused from having to pay, since Defendant refused Plaintiff's
6	tender, and expressly repudiated their obligation under the contract.
7	121. Plaintiff's tender would result in a loan to value ratio of no greater than 60%, as
8	specified by Defendant.
9	122. Defendant failed to deliver the goods as required by the contract, and offered no
10	legally valid excuse for non-performance.
11	123. Defendant repudiated the contract, and failed to perform upon Plaintiff's demand
12	for performance.
13	124. Plaintiff was harmed, and was unable to cover upon similar terms.
14	125. Defendant's breach of contract was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's
15	harm.
16	126. As a result of Defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiff seeks equitable relief in
17	the form of specific performance, or in the alternative, for damages to be calculated at the time
18	of trial.
19	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
20	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff George J. Gerro prays for a judgment against Defendant
21	Blockfi Lending LLC, and any other Defendants as follows:
22	1. For Cancellation of the First, Second, and Third Pawn electronic agreements in their
23	entirety.
24	2. For a decree of specific delivery of the pledged property to Plaintiff, conditioned
25	upon restoration of the benefit. (CAL. CIV. CODE § 3380).
26	3. For a return of \$121,559.54 of interest payments plus any loan origination fees.
27	4. For an award of general damages for breach of contract in an amount of \$1,000,000.
28	

Case 2		01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Page 17 of 20		
1	5. For all net proceeds that Defen	ndants have earned upon the pledged property, or the		
2	proportional net proceeds from	n a commingled fund thereof.		
3	6. For an accounting of all profits	s earned from Plaintiff's pledged property.		
4	7. For pre-judgment interest.			
5	8. For an award of costs and fees; and			
6	9. For any and all other relief the	Court deems just and proper.		
7				
	Dated: May 13, 2020	The Law Offices of Gerro & Gerro		
9				
10		By:		
11		George J. Gerro		
12		The Law Offices Of Gerro & Gerro		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	er i santara parlamente de la compansa de la compa			
-18	•			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
11				

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp  FILED  Superior Count of California County of Los Angeles  05/08/2020		
By: N. Le Deputy		
CASE NUMBER: 20BBCV00308		
orthwith, and meet and confer with all is before the Case Management Conferences shown above on:		
Dept.:		
1		

ent may be filed jointly by all parties/attomeys of record or individually by each party/attomey of record. You must be familiar with the case and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the Case Management Conference.

At the Case Management Conference, the Court may make pretrial orders including the following, but not limited to, an order establishing a discovery schedule; an order referring the case to Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR); an order reclassifying the case; an order setting subsequent conference and the trial date; or other orders to achieve the goals of the Trial Court Delay Reduction Act (Gov. Code, § 68600 et seg.)

Notice is hereby given that if you do not file the Case Management Statement of appear and effectively participate at the Case Management Conference, the Court may impose sanctions, pursuant to AS ocal Rule 3.37, Code of Civil Procedure sections 177.5, 575.2, 583.150, 583.360 and 583.410, Government Code sobdivision (b), Court, rule 2.2 et seq.

Dated: 05/08/2020	William D. Stewart / Judge
	Judicial Officer

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

		ereby certify that I am not a party to the cause nce upon each party or counsel named below:
	es mail at the courthouse in Burbank d envelope to each address as shown below	, California, one copy of the original with the postage thereon fully prepaid.
by personally giving the party n	otice upon filing of the complaint.	
John Michael Gerro		
530 S Glenoaks Blvd		
Burbank, CA 91502	•	
	Sherri I	R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
Dated: _05/08/2020	1	By N. Le
		Deputy Clerk
LACIV 422 (Per 07/42)		0.1 5 1 (0 1 - 1 - 0.700 0.70)

LACIV 132 (Rev. 07/13) LASC Approved 10-03 -

NOTICE OF -

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.720-3.730 -LASC Local Rules, Chapter Three

For Optional Use

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Case 22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 19 of 20

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angales		
courthouse Address: Burbank Courthouse 300 East Olive Avenue, Rm 225, Burbank, CA 91502			
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	O5/08/2020 Sherri R. Curter, Executive Officer / Creck of Court  By: N. Lé Deputy		
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	CASE NUMBER: 20BBCV00308		

## THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

ASSIGNED JUDGE		DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE		DEPT	ROOM
~	William D. Stewart	A					

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

on\_05/08/2020

By N. Le

\_\_\_, Deputy Clerk

## Case 22-19361-MBK Doc 204-1 Filed 01/06/23 Entered 01/06/23 18:06:09 Desc Exhibit A Page 20 of 20

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

#### APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

#### PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

#### CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

#### TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

#### COMPLAINTS

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

## **CROSS-COMPLAINTS**

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

#### STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

## FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

#### **SANCTIONS**

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

## **Class Actions**

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

#### \*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.